

## **HORLEY TOWN COUNCIL**

## **Planning and Development Committee**

A meeting of the above-named Committee will be held at the Albert Rooms, Albert Road, Horley on Tuesday, 07 December 2021 at 7.30 pm

All correspondence and papers referred to in the public part of the agenda are available to view in the Town Council Offices during normal office hours or on the website

Following Government guidelines, public meetings of Horley Town Council have returned to in person meetings at the Edmonds Hall, 92 Albert Road, Horley RH6 7HZ.

<u>Please Note:</u> Members of the public may be present (subject to social distancing rules being followed to a maximum number capacity) or join the meeting remotely by requesting a Zoom link (by email to: town.clerk@horleytown.com) no later than one hour before the start of the meeting.

# AGENDA

### 1. Apologies and Reasons for Absence

#### 2. Disclosable Pecuniary Interests and Non-Pecuniary Interests

To receive from members any Declarations of Interest in relation to any items included on the Agenda for this Meeting.

#### 3. Public Forum

Members of the public are invited to put questions or draw relevant matters to the Council's attention. Each member of the public is allowed to speak once only and for a maximum of five minutes in respect of a business item on the agenda, at the discretion of the Chairman. If it appears that the number of speakers is likely to unreasonably delay the disposal of business items on the agenda, the Chairman may direct that a member of the public submits a question or comment in writing which shall be answered in due course.

### 4. Approval of Minutes

Planning & Development Committee held on 16 November 2021.

#### 5. Planning Updates

Planning & Development Committee held on 16 November 2021.

#### 6. Planning Applications

- i) To consider the list of applications determined for the period 12 November to 2 December 2021.
- ii) To consider the list of applications registered for the period 12 November to 2 December 2021.

#### 7. Planning Appeals

To consider any Planning Appeals received and determined.

8. **Ongoing Planning Matters** 

To receive an update on any matters.

- 9. GAL Consultation: Proposals by Gatwick Airport to bring its Northern Runway into routine use alongside its Main Runway and the DCO Process (Closing Date: 1 December **2021)** - To ratify this Council's response to the consultation.
- **10**. Titan House, Cross Oak Lane – Pre-application Consultation
- 11. Letters Received.
- **12. Diary Dates.**
- Items for Future Consideration. **13**.
- **Press Release** 14.

To agree items for inclusion.

Date of next meeting: 11 January 2022

Jon Walsh

**Signed: Town Clerk** Dated: 02 December 2021

List of Determined Applications – Horley

By Reigate & Banstead Borough Council

Applications Approved			
Application Number	Details	HTC Comments	Decision
21/02659/TPO	Street Record Regents Mews Horley Surrey   G1 - To reduce line of trees located behind houses in Regents Mews (2x Oak, 4x Sycamore and Lime trees) back to previous reduction points in both height and width over the garages. (approximately 2.0m to be removed). The trees are causing light issues to the houses behind and starting to encroach again on the garages.	No objections, subject to no adverse comments from the Tree Officer.	Approved with Conditions
21/02611/TPO	3 Astor Gardens Horley Surrey RH6 7HQ   T1 - Sycamore - Tip prune to shorten the branches by 0.75m T2- Sycamore - Tip prune to shorten the branches on the north side by 2m where they severely overhang the garage. Shorten the remainder of the crown by 0.75m. T3 - Sycamore - Tip prune to shorten the branches by 1m. The reason is to control the size of the trees because they are close to three houses.	No objections, subject to no adverse comments from the Tree Officer.	Approved with Conditions
21/02612/TPO	5 Astor Gardens Horley Surrey RH6 7HQ   T5 - Lime - Reduce the height by 1.5m and the lateral spread by 0.75m. G1 - Sycamore trees - Reduce the height by 2.5m because these are skinny trees sandwiched between two houses. T4 - Pine - Tip prune to shorten the lowest layer of branches by 0.75m to allow more light into both properties.	No objections, subject to no adverse comments from the Tree Officer.	Approved with Conditions
21/02594/TPO	9 Wheatfield Way Langshott Horley Surrey RH6 9DA   Both trees located in rear garden outside the applicant's rear boundary fence. Access is via applicant's rear garden. T1-Oak tree - Thin & crown lift to 3m. T2-Oak tree - Deadwood	No objections, subject to no adverse comments from the Tree Officer.	Approved with Conditions
21/02560/TPO	13 Priestlands Close Horley Surrey RH6 8GG   T1 Birch- Reduce crown to previous points. Tree has been previously been reduced and has produced substantial regrowth.	No objections, subject to no adverse comments from the Tree Officer.	Approved with Conditions

	During the period November 12	December 02 2021		
21/02504/HHOLD	55 Poynes Road Horley Surrey RH6 8LS   Replacement of existing rear conservatory with a single storey extension.	No objections	Approved with Conditions	
21/02497/HHOLD	41 Cheyne Walk Horley Surrey RH6 7ND   Demolition of existing extension, erection of new single storey rear extension.	No objections	Approved with Conditions	
21/02391/HHOLD	22 The Meadway Langshott Horley Surrey RH6 9AW   Loft conversion and rear ground floor extension. As amended on 11/11/2021	No objections	Approved with Conditions	
21/02042/F	Jessops Lodge 50 Massetts Road Horley Surrey RH6 7DS   Combined development of 50 Massetts Road to include the demolition of the existing coach house, the building of two new mews cottages, the conversion of the main house ground floor into 2No. flats and associated landscaping. As amended on 18/10/2021 and on 27/10/2021	No objections, subject to no adverse comments from the Tree Officer.  And  No objections to the proposed amendments to elevations and floorplan.	Approved with Conditions	
21/02461/HHOLD	Moonbow Cottage 32 The Close Horley Surrey RH6 9EB   Conversion of garage to annexe ancillary to the main dwelling house. As amended on 05/10/2021.	No objections	Approved with Conditions	
21/02389/HHOLD	23 The Meadway Langshott Horley Surrey RH6 9AW   Loft conversion, hip to gable and single storey rear/side extension. As amended on 08/10/2021.	No objections And No objections	Approved with Conditions	
21/01920/F	17 Vicarage Lane Horley Surrey RH6 8AR   Currently no.17 and no.17a (currently under construction) have a shared driveway. This application seeks to provide both no.17 and no.17a with their own exclusive shingle driveway. This will involve a new dropped kerb for both new driveways to connect to Vicarage Lane. As amended on 04/10/2021 and 01/11/2021 and on 10/11/2021.	OBJECT in support of the concerns raised by the Tree Officer.	Approved with Conditions	
21/02725/HHOLD	Oakmead 40 Haroldslea Drive Horley Surrey RH6 9DU   Large roof dormer to increase first floor space. Minor amendments to ground floor layout	No objections	Approved with Conditions	
21/02640/CU	59 - 61 Brighton Road Horley Surrey RH6 7HJ   Change of Use from D2 to D1 to create a children's day nursery	No objections	Approved with Conditions	

	During the period Hovember 12		
21/02617/LBC	Yew Tree Cottage Haroldslea Drive Horley Surrey RH6 9DU   Detached 3 bay garage with office space above. As amended on 26/10/2021.	No objections And No objections	Approved with Conditions
21/02596/HHOLD	13 Castle Drive Horley Surrey RH6 9DB   Proposed single storey front/side and rear extensions. As amended on 29/11/2021	No objections	Approved with Conditions
<u>21/02608/S73</u>	Yew Tree Cottage Haroldslea Drive Horley Surrey RH6 9DU   3 bay garage with first floor accommodation in roof. Variation of Condition 1 of permission 21/00727/HHOLD. Approved drawings have been updated to reflect the increased roof ridge. As amended on 27/10/2021.	No objections And No objections	Approved with Conditions
21/02582/HHOLD	16 Malt House Lane Horley Surrey RH6 8FY   Proposed galvanized estate railings and entry gates	No objections	Approved with Conditions

Applications Refused and Withdrawn

By Reigate & Banstead Borough Council

	Applications Refused			
Application No:	Details	HTC Comments	Status	
21/02553/TPO	17 Vicarage Lane Horley Surrey RH6 8AR   T2 Ash - Fell, tree has a large lean towards a very active road with vehicles and pedestrians. Applicant is concerned with regards to safety due to the trees excessive lean and top weight. T6 Ash- Fell, tree has very poor form after being suppressed by previously removed tree. no amenity value is offered by tree and site would benefit by replanting of both trees.	No objections, subject to no adverse comments from the Tree Officer.	Refused	
21/02495/F	85A Victoria Road Horley Surrey RH6 7QH   Previously approved garage (approval Ref:18/00786/F) with proposed 1st floor home office over.	No objections	Refused	
21/02258/HHOLD	2 Grendon Close Horley Surrey RH6 8JW   Annexe to main house.	Horley Town Council OBJECTS on the following grounds:  i. Inappropriate development in that location  ii. Lacks amenity and parking if it is to be used as a separate dwelling	Refused	

Appeals Lodged – Horley

Application No /	Details	Case Type	Application
Planning Inspectorate			Date
Reference:			

# Appeals In Progress (Awaiting Decision) – Horley

Appeals in Flogress (Awarting Decision) – noney			
Application No / Planning Inspectorate Reference:	Details	Case Type	Hearing Date
21/00067/E_EN APP/L3625/C/21/3282754	57 Meadowcroft Close Horley Surrey RH6 9EJ   Appealed against an Enforcement Notice regarding:  1. Without planning permission, the formation of an access at the junction with the B2036 Balcombe Road and the D552 Meadowcroft Close in the approximate position shown as a black hatched area on the attached plan A.  2. Without planning permission, the creation of a hardstanding in the approximate position shown within the black dotted line on the attached plan B.	Appeal against an Enforcement Notice	No date yet arranged
20/02581/F   APP/L3625/W/21/3277182	QVS 94 Brighton Road Horley Surrey RH6 7JQ   Extension, alteration and addition of residential accommodation to the existing building on 94 Brighton Road to provide 6 self contained flats.	Appeal against Refusal of DC Application	No date yet arranged
21/00325/HHOLD   APP/L3625/D/21/3275865	Ladram 53 Haroldslea Drive Horley Surrey RH6 9DT   Proposed part single storey/part double storey rear extension, double storey side extensions (east and west side), roof extension to incorporate habitable space with 2no. feature glazed dormers and front porch. As amended on 24/03/2021.	Appeal against Refusal of DC Application	No date yet arranged
21/00027/ADV   APP/L3625/Z/21/3275074	The Chequers Brighton Road Horley Surrey   New brand signage to replace existing that were situated at the property, 3no entrance totem signs are a like for like replacement for the existing in size and construction, new small way finder signage situated within the property carpark to replace existing but smaller to match brand guidelines, 2no new canopy signs to match brand guidelines situated above the 2no entrances.  1no new sign above the entrance to the restaurant to replace the existing sign which was coming away from the building and a risk to public safety if the signage was not removed,	Appeal against Refusal of Advertisement Consent Application	No date yet arranged

	new signage consisting of timber back ground	
	and moulding with halo illuminated letters in	
	brand colours, materials and design used were to	
	be as sympathetic as possible to the existing	
	build design. As amended on 22/02/2021.	

### **Appeals Decided – Horley**

Application No / Planning Inspectorate Reference:	Details	Case Type	Decision
20/01131/OUT/AP   APP/L3625/W/20/3265412	171-175 Smallfield Road Horley Surrey RH6 9LR   Outline planning application for the erection of 11no. dwellings with associated access. Alterations to No.175 Smallfield Road to facilitate access. All other matters to be reserved.	Appeal against Refusal of DC Application	Dismissed

## Registered by Reigate & Banstead Borough Council

## **During the period 12 November 2021 – 02 December 2021**

To see plans please CTRL+click on the application number to follow the link

01. RBBC Letter Dated: 15.11.21	Application No: 21/02922/CLE
LOCATION:	Horley Nails 15 Station Road Horley Surrey RH6 9HW
DESCRIPTION:	Application for a lawful development certificate for an existing
	use of land for two self-contained flats (No.15a and 15b) at 15
	Station Road, Horley, RH6 9HW.
Cons Expiry Date: 06/12/21; Determ	nination Deadline: 07/01/22;
HORLEY TOWN COUNCIL	No objections
COMMENTS	
(for approval by Planning Sub-	
Committee prior to deadline and	
ratification at meeting, 07/12/21)	

02. RBBC Letter Dated: 16.11.21	Application No: 21/02932/HHOLD
LOCATION:	83 Balcombe Road Horley Surrey RH6 9AB
DESCRIPTION:	Proposed single storey side/rear extension
Cons Expiry Date: 07/12/21; Determ	nination Deadline: 07/01/22;
History	21/01075/HHOLD – Proposed single storey side/rear extension
Status	21/01075/HHOLD – Approved with Conditions
Comments	21/01075/HHOLD – No objections
HORLEY TOWN COUNCIL	No objections
COMMENTS	
(for approval by Planning Sub-	
Committee prior to deadline and	
ratification at meeting, 07/12/21)	

03. RBBC Letter Dated: 24.11.21	Application No: 21/02891/HHOLD
LOCATION:	1 Harrowsley Green Cottages 136 Smallfield Road Horley Surrey
	RH6 9LS
DESCRIPTION:	Erection of conservatory
Cons Expiry Date: 15/12/21; Determ	nination Deadline: 18/01/22;
History	20/02744/HHOLD – Ground floor side extensions
	19/02305/HHOLD - Ground floor side extensions
Status	20/02744/HHOLD – Approved with Conditions
	19/02305/HHOLD – Approved with Conditions
Comments	20/02744/HHOLD – No objections
	19/02305/HHOLD – No objections
HORLEY TOWN COUNCIL	No objections
COMMENTS	
(for approval by Planning Sub-	
Committee prior to deadline and	
ratification at meeting, 07/12/21)	

2 December 2021 Page 1 of 6

## Registered by Reigate & Banstead Borough Council

## **During the period 12 November 2021 – 02 December 2021**

To see plans please CTRL+click on the application number to follow the link

04. RBBC Letter Dated: 24.11.21	Application No: 21/02885/F
LOCATION:	106 Balcombe Road Horley Surrey RH6 9BW
DESCRIPTION:	Erection of a detached bungalow
Cons Expiry Date: 15/12/21; Determ	nination Deadline: 17/01/22;
History	21/00461/CLP – Erection of an ancillary outbuilding
Status	21/00461/CLP – Permitted Development
Comments	21/00461/CLP – No Comment
HORLEY TOWN COUNCIL	No objections
COMMENTS	
(for approval by Planning Sub-	
Committee prior to deadline and	
ratification at meeting, 07/12/21)	

05. RBBC Letter Dated: 25.11.21	Application No: 21/02959/HHOLD	
LOCATION:	8 Chalkfield Road Horley Surrey RH6 9FT	
DESCRIPTION:	Single storey rear conservatory	
Cons Expiry Date: 16/12/21; Determination Deadline: 19/01/22;		
HORLEY TOWN COUNCIL		
COMMENTS		

06. RBBC Letter Dated: 26.11.21	Application No: 21/02994/OUT	
LOCATION:	34 Wellington Way Horley Surrey RH6 8JH	
DESCRIPTION:	The erection of 2No. 3 storey 3 bedroom dwellings along with associated works.	
Cons Expiry Date: 17/12/21; Determ	nination Deadline: 14/01/22;	
History	21/03028/HHOLD – Single storey front extension, part two	
	storey, part single storey rear extension, including the provision	
	of a rear balcony	
Status	21/03028/HHOLD – Pending Decision	
Comments	21/03028/HHOLD – No Comments – see Item 07.	
HORLEY TOWN COUNCIL		
COMMENTS		

2 December 2021 Page 2 of 6

## Registered by Reigate & Banstead Borough Council

## **During the period 12 November 2021 – 02 December 2021**

To see plans please CTRL+click on the application number to follow the link

07. RBBC Letter Dated: 29.11.21	Application No: 21/03028/HHOLD		
LOCATION:	34 Wellington Way Horley Surrey RH6 8JH		
DESCRIPTION:	Single storey front extension, part two storey, part single storey rear extension, including the provision of a rear balcony		
Cons Expiry Date: 20/12/21; Determ	nination Deadline: 19/01/22;		
History	21/02994/OUT – The erection of 2No. 3 storey 3 bedroom		
	dwellings along with associated works.		
Status	21/02994/OUT – Pending Decision		
Comments	21/02994/OUT – No Comments – See Item 06.		
HORLEY TOWN COUNCIL			
COMMENTS			

08. RBBC Letter Dated: 30.11.21	Application No: 21/03052/CLP	
LOCATION:	4 Birchwood Close Langshott Horley Surrey RH6 9TX	
DESCRIPTION:	Single story rear extension.	
Cons Evnim Dato: 21/12/21, Dator	ningtion Doubling, 21/01/22.	
Cons Expiry Date: 21/12/21; Determination Deadline: 21/01/22; HORLEY TOWN COUNCIL		
COMMENTS		

09. RBBC Letter Dated: 30.11.21	Application No: 21/02647/HHOLD	
LOCATION:	26 Castle Drive Horley Surrey RH6 9DB	
DESCRIPTION:	Proposed single-storey rear extension following demolition of existing single-storey pitched roof detached garage.	
Cons Expiry Date: 21/12/21; Determ	nination Deadline: 21/01/22;	
History	21/02013/PDE – Proposed single-storey rear extension.	
	Maximum height 3.00m, height at eaves 3.00m and extending	
	6.00m beyond the rear wall.	
Status	21/02013/PDE – Refused	
Comments	21/02013/PDE – No Comment	
HORLEY TOWN COUNCIL		
COMMENTS		

2 December 2021 Page 3 of 6

## Registered by Reigate & Banstead Borough Council

## **During the period 12 November 2021 – 02 December 2021**

To see plans please CTRL+click on the application number to follow the link

10. RBBC Letter Dated: 30.11.21	Application No: 21/03053/CLP	
LOCATION:	19 Kingsley Road Horley Surrey RH6 8HP	
DESCRIPTION:	Single storey rear extension, loft conversion with rear facing	
	dormer	
Cons Expiry Date: 21/12/21; Detern	nination Deadline: 21/01/22;	
History	21/01245/HHOLD – Two storey side extension, and front and	
	rear dormers. As amended on 04/08/2021 and on 11/08/2021.	
Status	21/01245/HHOLD – Approved with Conditions	
Comments	21/01245/HHOLD – No objections	
HORLEY TOWN COUNCIL		
COMMENTS		

2 December 2021 Page 4 of 6

## Registered by Reigate & Banstead Borough Council

## **During the period 12 November 2021 – 02 December 2021**

To see plans please CTRL+click on the application number to follow the link

## Tree Works (Non-Felling)

A. RBBC Letter Dated:	Application No: 21/02810/TPO	
LOCATION:	Little Manor Lawn Apperlie Drive Horley Surrey RH6 9EU	
DESCRIPTION:	1 Oak (A1) remove dead branches 15% of tree, remove low	
	hanging and dangerous branches (crown raising), to reduce	
	shading and increase clearance between tree and structure.	
	General pruning of small branches to maintain good tree health	
	(thinning)	
HORLEY TOWN	No objections, subject to no adverse comments from the Tree	
COUNCIL COMMENTS	Officer.	
(Planning Officer delegation):		

B. RBBC Letter Dated:	Application No: 21/02896/TPO	
LOCATION:	The Lawn 30 Massetts Road Horley Surrey RH6 7DF	
DESCRIPTION:	Two Scots Pine trees - Tip prune both trees to control the size by	
	shortening branch length by 0.5m	
HORLEY TOWN	No objections, subject to no adverse comments from the Tree	
COUNCIL COMMENTS	Officer.	
(Planning Officer delegation):		

C. RBBC Letter Dated:	Application No: 21/02971/TPO	
LOCATION:	Wentworth Oldfield Road Horley Surrey RH6 7EP	
DESCRIPTION:	RE768 Ash to be felled due to evidence of Chalara Fraxinea (Ash Dieback) and close to proximity to building. Tree provides little	
	amenity value.	
HORLEY TOWN	No objections, subject to no adverse comments from the Tree	
COUNCIL COMMENTS	Officer.	
(Planning Officer delegation):		

D. RBBC Letter Dated: 30.11.21	Application No: 21/03041/TPO	
LOCATION:	Little Paddock Apperlie Drive Horley Surrey RH6 9EU	
DESCRIPTION:	Works to tree subject to TPO	
HORLEY TOWN	No objections, subject to no adverse comments from the Tree	
COUNCIL COMMENTS	Officer.	
(Planning Officer delegation):		

2 December 2021 Page 5 of 6

### Registered by Reigate & Banstead Borough Council

### **During the period 12 November 2021 – 02 December 2021**

To see plans please CTRL+click on the application number to follow the link

### The following applications are for information only

15.11.21

N/A

#### 22.11.21

18/00058/DET06-138 Victoria Road Horley Surrey RH6 7BF | Submission of cycle parking details pursuant to condition 6 of permission 18/00058/F. To extend existing building with two storey and single storey extension and to convert existing shop/office building including extension into 6 No. flats.  $6 \times 2$  bed.

04/02120/RM5B/DET04 – Horley North West Development Meath Green Lane Horley Surrey | Submission of materials details pursuant to condition 4 of permission 04/02120/RM5B. Reserved Matters application for Phase 5 of development at North West Horley (appearance, landscaping, layout and scale) pursuant to 04/02120/OUT for the erection of 53no. dwellings and associated parking, levels, lighting, drainage and ancillary works.

29.11.21

N/A

2 December 2021 Page 6 of 6

# **HORLEY TOWN COUNCIL**

Joan Walsh Town Clerk Council Offices, 92 Albert Road Horley, Surrey RH6 7HZ

Tel: 01293 784765 info@horleysurrey-tc.gov.uk www.horleysurrey-tc.gov.uk



Gatwick Airport Limited (GAL) Northern Runway Project

By Email: <a href="mailto:feedback@gatwickfutureplans.com">feedback@gatwickfutureplans.com</a> 30 November 2021

Dear Sir/Madam

#### Northern Runway Project (NRP) - Consultation Response from Horley Town Council

We refer to GAL's Northern Runway Project proposal and we welcome the opportunity to reply to this project/proposal.

#### **Background to Horley**

Horley is a town of some 22,000 people (as per the 2011 census) which has grown considerably since then and is located on the north side of Gatwick Airport with a shared boundary in places so is both indirectly and directly affected by operations and development in and around the airport site. Horley is situated within the administrative boundary of Reigate and Banstead Borough Council (RBBC).

As a commuter town situated close to London and Gatwick Airport, the airport had in the past provided good employment prospects for our residents. However, the local economy has suffered from the short-term and long-term impacts on the aviation industry from the Covid-19 pandemic in respect of job losses both directly and indirectly. We are therefore supportive of the airport rebuilding its business but by doing so in a way that has the least possible impact on our community and the environment, both now and in the future.

The Town Council's Planning and Development Committee thoroughly discussed the Northern Runway Project (NRP) consultation at its meetings held on 21 September, 19 October and 16 November 2021, and our response is now set out in detail below. We request that the following comments be taken into consideration as the DCO application is progressed.

We understand from the PEIR, that the NRP proposes alterations to the existing "northern runway" which, together with the lifting of the current planning restrictions on its use, would enable dual runway operations. The PEIR states that the NRP includes the development of a range of infrastructure and facilities which, with the alterations to the northern runway, would enable the airport passenger numbers and aircraft operations to increase.

Whilst we can understand that GAL wish to make one comprehensive DCO application, we would question whether items such as new hotels, office blocks etc, which are not directly related to airfield infrastructure, should fall outside of the DCO process? They must be subject to the normal procedure

for planning applications through the Local Planning Authority. You have not advanced a reason to depart from the normal processes.

We have carefully reviewed the consultation documents at length and have identified the following key issues of concern which we believe would have a significant impact on Horley, as summarised below:

- FLOODING
- NOISE
- TRAFFIC / SURFACE ACCESS
- AIR QUALITY

#### **FLOODING:**

The River Mole is located to the western side of Horley and the Burstow Stream tributary, running to the east and northern reaches of the Town. Large areas of Horley are therefore located in Flood Zones 2 and 3a & 3b (Appendix C – SFRA) and at an existing high or medium risk from flooding. Surface Water run-off caused by intense short periods of rainfall affect low lying areas around these streams, including Gatwick and Horley. Any additional development that exacerbates flooding is of great concern to the residents of Horley and Horley Town Council.

Crawley Borough Council's Level 1 Strategic Risk Assessment for the Upper Mole Catchment states that 'The provision of buffer strips is important in preserving watercourse corridors, flood flow conveyance and future watercourse maintenance and improvement. It also enables the avoidance of disturbing ecology and the structural integrity of riverbanks'.

The SFRA states that 'Developers should:

- Not build within 12m from the edge of bank of any Ordinary Watercourse within the district
- Not build within 8m from the edge of bank of any Main River within the District in accordance with the Environment Permitting Regulations (2016)
- Seek opportunities on a site-by-site basis to increase these buffer distances to 'make space for water,' allowing additional capacity to accommodate climate change'.

Both the River Mole and Gatwick Stream pass close to Gatwick and with GAL's proposals to build on more land around the current Gatwick area, this means that there are fewer buffer strips available.

It is considered that there would be impacts on a range of aspects of the water environment during the initial construction phase and we have noted the mitigation measures proposed in the PEIR. However, the conclusion that 'the magnitude of impact on health and wellbeing is considered to be negligible' would appear dismissive of local residents' consideration of the project in respect of flooding when the reality of previous flood occurrences within the area did make a significant impact on the health and wellbeing of many Horley residents. There is also no consideration of the environmental and ecological short, medium and long term impacts.

We note that the PEIR [Ref FRA Appendix 11.9.1 – Figure 11.6] shows two new attenuation ponds by the Longbridge Roundabout, taking surface water off the highway into the holding ponds before draining into the River Mole channel. One of the proposed attenuation ponds is at Church Meadows, currently a popular amenity space used by local residents.

Church Meadows is identified on the Reigate and Banstead Borough Council Proposals Map as falling within the Church Road Conservation Area, is designated urban open space, and forms part of the

Riverside Green Chain. Church Meadows is currently maintained by Horley Town Council on behalf of Reigate and Banstead Borough Council.

Both proposed attenuation ponds at the Longbridge Roundabout appear to accept highway run off as a result of the re-design of this roundabout. The relatively small re-design of the highway to necessitate two new attenuation ponds would appear excessive and thus the re-design appears to be fundamentally flawed.

Currently there are no balancing ponds in this area. Horley Town Council and its residents have deep concerns about the loss of this valuable amenity space for residents, visitors and wildlife. We trust that any ongoing maintenance costs and responsibility for ensuring effective functionality of the attenuation ponds in the event of a significant rainfall event will rest with GAL in perpetuity but confirmation of this should be provided in the DCO.

We note in Chapter 11 of the PEIR (para 11.5.1) that 'the design of proposed flood mitigation measures is subject to discussion with the LLFA and/or the Environment Agency. Therefore, details regarding their location and arrangements are subject to change'. The location and extent of the attenuation ponds at Church Meadows must be reconsidered and relocated in order to ensure the retention of valuable public amenity space — perhaps only one pond would be sufficient on the Gatwick dairy farmland?

We also note that a further attenuation pond is proposed on the land to the north of the South Terminal flyover (currently identified as Site Allocation HOR9 in Reigate and Banstead Borough Council Local Plan for Horley Strategic Business Park) [Ref FRA Appendix 11.9.1 – Figure 11.4]. The same site is also identified in the GAL proposals for use as a construction compound for the duration of the project.

We note that this would therefore have future implications for the delivery of the strategic site allocation in both the short term (i.e. any time before 2032 due to its use during the construction period) and in the long term, in respect of the siting of the attenuation pond potentially compromising the comprehensive redevelopment of this strategic site as set out in adopted policy.

### Sewage/ Wastewater

We understand that the existing airport facilities currently discharge foul wastewater and sewage between two discrete systems, one discharging to Thames Water's Crawley sewage treatment works and the other into Thames Water's Horley sewage treatment works approximately 6 km to the north of the airport via the trunk sewerage system. We raise concerns that the level of increase in passenger capacity generated by the proposals would push the Horley Sewage Treatment Works over capacity (if it is not already) – particularly as we have raised recent concerns with the Environment Agency (EA) regarding the current leak from the Horley sewage works, near the new Westvale Park development.

We understand from the PEIR that 'Thames Water will complete an assessment of the impact of an increase in passenger numbers as a result of the Project on water treatment capacity at Crawley and Horley that would inform the ES', but that this has yet to be undertaken. HTC are keen to see that such an assessment is undertaken prior to the submission of the DCO application to properly inform a realistic solution.

We are supportive that the GAL proposals have sought to identify a potential location for a new treatment works (near the existing Crawley Sewage Treatment Works), should there be insufficient capacity.

#### **NOISE:**

In relation to aircraft noise, Horley Town Council is protected by regulation in the AIP that aircraft departing from Gatwick must not overfly the town. Our southern neighbourhoods are likely to be the most affected by arrivals on westerly operations and departures on easterly operations but neither fly directly overhead. A major new residential development in Horley has been built under one of the NPR's and is not protected by the current AIP regulations.

We note that the PEIR states 'The Project includes 8 new exit/entrance taxiways, plus the end around taxiways and has been designed so that the numbers of go-arounds do not significantly increase. As such, noise disturbance from go-arounds is not expected to increase'. Whilst this might be true for arriving aircraft to exit the runway with more options, these will have no impact on departing aircraft which are slow to roll.

The noise modelling Lmax Contours shown in the PEIR at Section 14.4.54 assumes aircraft would fly along already used flight paths, however, it is noted in Figure 8.1 of the Consultation Overview Document that different areas are overflown from the proposed northern runway. It is therefore unclear whether there is to be a change in flight paths off the northern runway. Notwithstanding the inconsistency highlighted, assuming flight paths to and from the main runway would not be affected, only departures would routinely use the northern runway (other than during maintenance of the main runway when arrivals and departures may use it as is the case now). These would fly straight ahead until they turn onto the relevant Standard Instrument Departure (SID) Route within the Noise Preferential Route generally 5 to 16 km from the end of the runway. These flight paths would be 210 metres north of the equivalent flight paths from the main runway. Thus, areas to the north of the existing extended runway centreline, to the east and to the west of the airport up to about 5 to 16 km from the runway ends, would experience more aircraft closer to them every day. The changes in noise from individual aircraft taking off on the northern runway compared to the main runway have been illustrated using Lmax 60 dB contours. This acknowledges that residential areas in south Horley would suffer an increase noise & possible annoyance, which is of major concern to HTC and its residents.

Experience from complaints received by the airport in 2019 indicated that the main cause of annoyance was not an individual aircraft event but the continuous noise from an increase in movements. Therefore increasing the number of flights proposed under the DCO has the potential for a massive increase in annoyance to residents and the number of complaints lodged with GAL.

The SOAEL (Significant Observed Adverse Effect Levels) values are below the current N65 day & N60 night metrics. We note the values set out in Table 14.4.4 (restated below) but HTC question whether GAL should model contours based on the proposed SOAEL's.

<u>Table 14.4.4</u>

Issue	LOAEL	SOAEL
Day	Leq, 16 hour day 51db	Leq, 16 hour day 63db
Night	Leq, 8 hour night 45db	Leq, 8 hour night 55db

We are also concerned about the noise impacts to residents living in Horley South (including the Gardens Estate and Riverside) during the construction period. The GAL proposals estimate 13 phases of construction over 12 years between 2024 – 2035 & another period between 2036 & 2038. The GAL proposals (PEIR Section 17.9.10) conclude that one property in Horley could experience noise levels above the Significant Observed Adverse Effect Level (SOAEL) during the daytime; nine properties in

Horley could experience noise levels above the SOAEL during the evening; and 120 properties could experience noise levels above the SOAEL during the night-time period (91 in Horley) [although we note some inconsistency in the figures reported in various chapters of the PEIR]. Therefore, despite mitigation being proposed, a significant number of residents will be impacted over a decade and subject to construction noise 24/7.

We would concur with the findings that 'Horley has the highest potential for adverse changes in noise exposure due to night works required for the highway alterations' (PEIR 17.9.62) and whilst it is acknowledged that the proposed mitigation measures reduce the number of households affected the significance of the effects is stated as 'moderate adverse' which we do not consider to be acceptable in the overall balance.

The Consultation Overview document (page 137 section 137) states that there will be 'Potential adverse impact to Riverside Garden Park & residential area'. Furthermore, Page 138 sections 49-51 state that 'night-time impacts may be significant on health & quality of life'. We note that the consultation documents indicate that noise insulation schemes may be necessary with option of temporary re-housing of residents. However, the documentation provides no suggestions on the type & quality of the temporary accommodation, how long for & who will pay for this and associated removal costs, and whether there is a difference between homeowners and tenants. We have concerns regarding this level of mitigation, particularly regarding the "temporary" nature of any rehousing. As the project is likely to take in excess of 10 years to complete, it is questionable as to whether it can realistically be considered a "temporary situation".

We note that a new noise bund along the A23 boundary with Riverside Garden Park is proposed, however, this will entail the loss of some of this existing high quality valuable community open space, not to mention the loss of mature tree screening along the existing A23 impacting on the character and visual appearance of the park. Whilst the loss of this land is proposed to be mitigated by proposing an area of land the other side of the airport spur which is currently an airport car park, we do not consider this substituted land as being of comparable quality and locationally suitable compared to what would be lost.

Furthermore, noise mitigation in this area is proposed to be 2 metres high which seems totally inadequate when looking at the height of the noise bunds at the western end of the airport.

The PEIR (Section 17.2.10) states that 'the government seeks to strike a balance between the negative impacts of noise, such as on health and wellbeing, and the positive economic impacts of aviation. A general principle is to ensure that benefits from future growth are shared between the aviation industry and local communities. As such, the industry should continue to reduce and mitigate noise as airport capacity grows, with the government's policy on aviation noise consistent with agreed international approaches and relevant European laws'. We consider that, to date, benefits have not been shared with the local community, as the noise contours have not significantly reduced over time with the introduction of quieter aircraft. Whilst this could change with the increasing number of NEO's & MAX's in the airline fleets operating from Gatwick, we still remain very concerned.

### **TRAFFIC / SURFACE ACCESS:**

The GAL development proposes a Construction Code of Practice which we support. However, we are sceptical that the requirement for construction traffic to use the M23 can be successfully controlled. In particular, any construction traffic using the proposed construction compound along the Balcombe Road as there is currently no access to the south terminal/ M23 spur Road nor is one proposed. It is

not clear how GAL propose to manage construction staff traffic not adding to the pressure on local roads particularly in the Horley area surrounding the airport?

Whilst we welcome the long-term objective of the proposed road alterations which seek to separate airport traffic from local road traffic, we are concerned about the phasing of these works and the visual/ noise impact of the proposed alterations to the A23/ M23 spur on the residents living in the Horley Gardens Estate, given the significant removal of vegetation.

We are alarmed to read that the phasing of new road infrastructure is scheduled towards the end of the NRP's proposed timeline (i.e. after the increase in passenger numbers). This is unacceptable to Horley Town Council as the existing road network is unlikely to have sufficient capacity to cope with the additional traffic. We would advocate for trigger points, based upon passenger numbers which will require certain new infrastructure to be in place and ask that this be given due consideration.

We note that the M23 Spur Bridge over the Balcombe Road is likely to require substantial works to facilitate the proposed access improvements. However, limited information has been provided to determine what impact that could have on the free flow of traffic along the Balcombe Road, an important route for residents into and out of Horley. HTC seek clarification on the duration of any works needed and any potential diversion routes, alongside the phasing of these works in relation to the wider construction phasing.

We note that most of the traffic flows calculated in the PEIR (Appendix 12.9.2) seem to be those in West Sussex, however, the majority of road traffic to Gatwick will at some point on their journey travel through Surrey and we request that Surrey roads (including the A217/A23) are included.

Furthermore, we would question what the impact would be if the Government (DfT) seek to return smart motorways to their original configuration (i.e. re-instatement of the hard shoulder) for Gatwick's surface access calculations?

We have also been advised that the planned funding for major rail infrastructure improvements in the Croydon area (e.g. Windmill Junction) has been re-assigned and there is no indication when funding might be available in the future. This will mean the current Brighton Main Line will have to cope with a massive increase in demand from passengers [ as forecast by GAL] and staff on the airports only rail link to the capital.

#### **AIR QUALITY:**

The Horley AQMA includes an area of the southwest of Horley to the north of the airport, including Riverside Garden Park. The AQMA was designated for exceedances of the annual mean NO2 air quality standard.

Whilst the PEIR would suggest that air quality will remain comparable to baseline levels, vehicle emissions across the UK are improving due to improvements in technology which has seen air quality dramatically improved – for example, we understand that air quality in Hooley, to the north of the borough (almost entirely caused by vehicles previously) has dramatically improved in the last couple of years. We feel it is unfair for Horley residents not to benefit from the improvements in air quality being enjoyed by almost all other parts of the UK as a result of these proposals which are merely seeking to keep air quality at or around existing baseline levels.

We understand that at the time of writing the PEIR, no specific emission factors are available to allow quantitative assessment of ultrafine particles. While currently there are no regulations controlling

ultra-fine emissions, there is growing concern that they are dangerous. We recognise that aircraft are a key source of ultra-fine particles and we understand that there are currently areas of Horley near the airport that have ultra-fine particulate levels in the air comparable to that seen in central London. We therefore consider that an assessment on ultra-fine particles should be included in the revised ES as part of the DCO application having regard to any emerging policy and legislative change in this regard.

We understand that the WHO published revised guidance on air pollution in September 2021, which recommends a reduction in annual average nitrogen dioxide concentration to 10ug/m3. Given that levels around the airport are anticipated to be significantly above this level, we request that GAL undertake further assessment and mitigation to address the revised WHO guideline value, particularly in light of the Government's commitments at the recent COP26.

#### Other Matters - Climate Change

At its Full Council meeting held on 10 December 2019 Horley Town Council declared a <u>Climate</u> <u>Emergency</u> that requires urgent action.

The recent COP26 Meeting reaffirmed the UK's commitment to tackling climate change. The Glasgow Climate Pact 'recognizes that limiting global warming to 1.5 °C requires **rapid**, **deep** and **sustained reductions** in global greenhouse gas emissions, including reducing global carbon dioxide emissions by 45 per cent by 2030 relative to the 2010 level and to net zero around mid-century, as well as deep reductions in other greenhouse gases' (our emphasis).

The PEIR acknowledges that the GAL proposals will result in higher overall greenhouse gas emissions, which would appear contrary to the UK Government's climate change ambitions of achieving carbon zero by 2050.

The GAL proposals appear to place significant reliance on Sustainable Aviation Fuel (SAF) and we would question how achievable this is — will a supply of SAF be in place to meet demand? Notwithstanding this, the use of SAF raises its own climate change concerns as the majority are from plant-based sources associated with the potential destruction of rain forests to create more land for crop growing alongside competing for land growing crops for human consumption.

If Gatwick's air traffic expands at the rate suggested in the PEIR, Gatwick's CO2 emissions will contribute to a significant proportion of the Government's total aircraft CO2 emissions for the whole of the UK. This seems contrary to the Government's "Levelling Up" Strategy whereby concentrating so much air traffic south of London could impact the economic and environmental sustainability of other UK airports, especially northern ones, if the broader Government target for aircraft CO2 emissions is to be taken seriously.

Furthermore, it could be argued that not all CO2 emissions are equally important or valuable - if the UK Government are serious about achieving carbon net-zero by 2050, is there a justifiable need to be generating CO2 on non-essential short-haul flights vs. CO2 emitted to heat homes or for food production.

#### **Conclusions**

Horley Town Council supports the build back of Gatwick Airport and associated economic benefits associated with this. We would, however, question the demand forecast for such levels of passenger

growth and whether airlines will grow back above pre-Covid levels, so thereby query the need for the Northern Runway proposals.

Under permitted rights GAL have forecast that over 62 million passengers can be accommodated by more intensive use of the main runway. This alone could be considered a significant increase over the 2019 passenger numbers.

Historically the Town Council has supported Gatwick's growth based upon a one runway/two terminal approach and this remains the case.

From the information provided as part of this DCO consultation process, we formally **object** to the proposed Northern Runway development, inter alia, on the following grounds:

- An increase in flood risk, significantly impacting the residents of Horley;
- Inappropriate flood mitigation resulting in the loss of valuable amenity space at Church Meadows;
- Insufficient level of information regarding sewage and wastewater capacity;
- Unacceptable adverse noise impacts to Horley residents from additional aircraft and construction operations;
- Insufficient level of information and inappropriate noise mitigation measures being considered;
- Concern regarding the level and routing of construction traffic having an adverse impact on the local road network;
- Insufficient level of information regarding construction phasing;
- Concern that new road infrastructure and mitigation measures are only being proposed after increases in passenger numbers;
- Air pollution from aviation, construction and operational phases adversely impacting Horley residents;
- Concern about greenhouse gas emissions and wider impacts on climate change; and
- Insufficient justification for airport expansion in light of travel pattern changes following Covid-19 and the national and international commitments on carbon reduction.

The Council re-iterates its policy and support of Gatwick continuing to grow as a two terminal and one runway airport.

Ultimately the planning balance will fall between the environmental impacts versus the economic/employment benefits of the proposed development.

We trust our comments will be taken into account as you progress your DCO application and we look forward to hearing the outcomes of the NRP consultation in due course. The Town Council would be happy to discuss any matters arising from our consultation response.

Yours faithfully

Joan Walsh Town Clerk

**Horley Town Council**